

MEC 6 CONFERÊNCIA MORFODINÂMICA ESTUARINA E COSTEIRA Laboratório Nacional de Engenharia Civil 6-8 JUNHO 2 0 2 2









PTDC/CTA-AMB/28909/2017



Presentation outline

- Motivation
- Study area and Research question
 - Figueira da Foz downdrift coast
 - Impact of the beach and shore morphologies on modelled overtopping
- A local overtopping model based on XBeach
 - Short and long waves validation
 - Overtopping model validation
- Overtopping exposure and morphological changes
 - Impacts of seasonal intertidal beach cycle
 - Impacts of riprap removal in 2021
- Lessons learnt





Motivation

Exposure to coastal overtopping is increasing worldwide

- A tool for mapping the hazard associated with combined wind-waves and storm surge was developed:
 - Based on XBeach surfbeat, 2DH –
 - For planning (mapping) and in early warning system purposes
- → There is a need to assess its sensitivity to *natural* and *anthropogenic changes* in *shore and nearshore morphologies*



Study area

• The downdrift coast of Figueira da Foz harbour:



• Recurrent dune overtopping behind intermediate beaches

- Harbour breakwaters
- Large ebb-tidal delta
- Sub- and intertidal travelling sandbars
- Local defence scheme



Research question

• The downdrift coast of Figueira da Foz harbour:



- Up to 1.4 m of beach accretion from February to August 2019

- Riprap removal during 2021 spring

fe coastal communitie

Local Xbeach model: surfbeat, 2DH



Local model validation: surfzone waves





• *Non-default* 2DH parameters:

• $H_{max} = \gamma'(h + \delta H)$ with $(\gamma', \delta) = (0.41, 0.5)$ \rightarrow Hm0, sw equal as to better than the default $(\gamma', \delta) = (0.52, 0.0)$ \rightarrow Improved Eta spectrum shape and Tm02, lw

•Scheme = upwind_2 → Improved Hm0,Iw and Tm02,Iw

•Full, bi-dimensional wave spectrum: → Improved Hm0,Iw and Tm02,Iw

•2DH vs. 1DH surfbeat:

 \rightarrow Improved Tm02, lw

Local model validation: SB vs. NH

2DH surfbeat (SB) vs. 1DH non-hydrostatic (NH)

Hs, Tp, Dir., Elev. = 7.03 m, 21.3 s, 286°, 1.60 m

 In both energetic and moderate situation, similar results in terms of combined short and long wave energy:

 $\left(\mathrm{Hs,tot} = \sqrt{H_{m0,sw}^2 + H_{m0,lw}^2}\right)$

and in terms of mean surface elevations

Hs, Tp, Dir., Elev. = 3.25 m, 17.6 s, 278°, 1.77 m





Local model validation: overtopping

- Validation against in-situ observations form 21 February 2019
 - Hs, Tp, Dir., Elev. = 3.25 m, 17.6 s, 278°, 1.77 m
 - 10 x 17 min. simulations
 - Inundated if $Eta_{max} > Z_{topo}$
- → Main overtopping extent reproduced
- \rightarrow Smaller patches missing







Impacts of seasonal morphologies

• February 2019 vs. August 2019 : 1.4 m of beach accretion (Hs = 3.25 m, 7.03 m; Elev. = 2.39 m)



Moraic.pt

Impacts of human interventions

• August 2019 vs. July 2021 : *riprap removal* (Hs = 3.25 m, 7.03 m; Elev. = 2.39 m)





Lessons learnt

- Ongoing morphological changes are expected to increase overtopping exposure in two ways:
 - Lower intertidal and upper beach may increase the (short) wave height at the shoreline
 - Gently intertidal and upper beach may serves as a ramp to longer infragravity wave
- Ongoing work is being done to maintain intertidal beach state updated through continuous satellite monitoring
- For the upper beach and foredune state this remain an open question regarding at the available satellite products

